Ecosystem vulnerability in Indonesia: drivers, multidimensional impacts, and pathways for sustainable mitigation

Dicky Artha[11], *Marini Susanti* Hamidun[1], *Dewi Wahyuni K.* Baderan[1]

[1]Master's Program in Demography and Environment, Postgraduate Program, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo, Gorontalo, Indonesia

Abstract. Indonesia, recognized as one of the world's megabiodiverse countries, is increasingly experiencing ecosystem vulnerability due to accelerating environmental and socio-economic pressures. Ecosystem vulnerability refers to the diminished capacity of ecological systems to withstand and recover from external disturbances. This study employs a systematic literature review combined with selected case analyses to examine the structural drivers, multidimensional impacts, and mitigation pathways of ecosystem vulnerability in Indonesia. The findings reveal that vulnerability arises from the interaction between climate-related stressors and intensive anthropogenic activities, particularly deforestation, land-use change, and pollution, compounded by weak environmental governance and institutional fragmentation. The impacts are multidimensional, encompassing biodiversity loss, declining ecosystem services, increased disaster risks, economic instability in resource-dependent communities, and emerging public health threats. This study proposes an integrated socio-ecological mitigation framework that combines ecosystem restoration, adaptive governance, strengthened regulatory enforcement, and community-based resilience strategies. By synthesizing ecological and socio-economic dimensions, this paper contributes to the conceptual refinement of ecosystem vulnerability in developing country contexts and offers policy-relevant pathways toward sustainable environmental management.

1. Introduction

The term ecosystem refers to nature's fundamental functional structure, generated through the mutual relationship between its living (biotic) and non-living (abiotic) elements. When functioning optimally, a robust ecosystem exhibits stable energy dynamics, reliable material recycling, and extensive biodiversity, which collectively sustain all life within the system, including human populations.

[1] Corresponding author: mutedemulana@gmail.com

Despite this foundational importance, the natural equilibrium is currently facing immense pressure unlike anything seen before. Unsustainable practices are often employed to meet the escalating demands for land, resources, and energy, fueled by swift demographic expansion. Furthermore, unchecked industrial growth and shifting global climate patterns have compounded the crisis, leading to increased contamination and erratic weather conditions. This degradation is particularly evident in Indonesia, where critical environments like tropical rainforests (the planet's lungs and carbon repositories) and coastal zones (mangroves and coral reefs) are rapidly deteriorating. Key issues such as extensive logging, wild forest fires, and environmental contamination severely diminish the environment's ability to sustain life, leading to what is termed 'ecosystem vulnerability'. Crucially, this vulnerability extends beyond ecological concern, evolving into a socio-economic problem that endangers human welfare. Therefore, this paper is structured to provide a comprehensive analysis of the root causes of this vulnerability, its resulting consequences, and the mitigation efforts required to reestablish ecological equilibrium

2. Literature Review and Theoretical Framework

2.1 Concept of Ecosystem and Vulnerability

The cornerstone of ecosystem stability, as defined by Odum (1993), rests upon three key characteristics: biodiversity, productivity, and balance. Furthermore, any perturbation impacting a single element inevitably undermines the functionality of the ecological system in its entirety.

Keraf (2010) describes the state of ecosystem vulnerability as one in which ecological functions deteriorate, rendering the system incapable of sustaining consistent energy and material flows due to an imbalance in nature.

Modern ecological research, referencing Holling (1973), posits that vulnerability is established by three main determinants:

- Sensitivity: This measures the extent of impact an ecological system receives from disturbances (e.g., the high susceptibility of mangroves to shifts in salinity).
- Exposure: This assesses the intensity of stress encountered, such as increased pollution or temperature changes.
- Adaptive Capacity: This refers to the system's inherent ability to recuperate following a disturbance.

It logically follows that systems with diminished adaptive capacity will register higher levels of vulnerability

2.2 Ecological Resilience Theory

The examination of vulnerability necessitates consideration of ethical viewpoints. Specifically, the anthropocentric perspective, which prioritizes human needs while viewing nature solely as a resource for economic benefit, has been a driving force behind environmental overexploitation. Conversely, environmental ethics, particularly ecocentrism, proposes a moral imperative for humans to safeguard the integrity of nature, ensuring the sustained continuity of the life system itself.

2.3 Environmental Ethics

Vulnerability analysis is also inseparable from ethical perspectives. Anthropocentric views that place humans at the center and nature merely as a tool for economic gain have triggered

overexploitation. Environmental ethics (ecocentrism) offers a moral foundation where humans have a responsibility to preserve the integrity of nature for the continuity of the life system itself.

3. Analysis Of Causal Factors

Ecosystem vulnerability in Indonesia is the result of a complex interaction of three categories of factors:

3.1 Natural Factors

Although inherently natural, these elements wield substantial power to destabilize ecological structures. Key contributors include:

- Climate Change: Weather instability, driven by phenomena like El Niño and La Niña, intensifies dry seasons and rainfall, leading to droughts and habitat destruction in coastal and forest areas.
- Natural Disasters: Events such as tsunamis, volcanic eruptions, and earthquakes can dismantle centuries-old ecosystem structures, forcing species into habitat loss and requiring extensive periods for recovery.
- Hydrological Changes: Excessive sedimentation and erosion frequently lead to the shallowing of river mouths, thus disturbing the balance of aquatic organisms.

3.2 Anthropogenic Factors (Human Activities)

Human activities are identified as the primary drivers of ecological deterioration:

- Land Degradation and Deforestation: The extensive clearing of forests for mining and plantations drastically diminishes vegetative cover, simultaneously disrupting the global carbon cycle and raising microclimate temperatures.
- Forest and Land Fires: Land clearing practices involving burning, particularly on peatlands, inflict severe damage on soil quality and result in difficult-to-extinguish transboundary air pollution.
- Land-Use Conversion: The continuous increase in converting natural habitats into built-up infrastructure or aquaculture operations is evident. For example, mangrove conversion in Brebes has eliminated the natural coastal buffer function.
- Pollution and Waste: The discharge of industrial and domestic effluent into waterways lowers dissolved oxygen levels and increases chemical toxins, which ultimately disrupt food chains and kill aquatic organisms.

3.3 Environmental Governance Factors

Vulnerability is worsened by deficiencies in institutional aspects:

- Weak Law Enforcement: Inadequate monitoring permits the persistence of illegal logging and industrial pollution ; lenient penalties signal an ecological moral crisis where economic priorities eclipse sustainability.

- Poor Interagency Coordination: Overlapping policy jurisdictions frequently occur; for instance, one agency might grant mining permits in an area designated as a conservation zone by another.
- Limited Community Participation: In certain areas, such as Paluh Getah, a lack of local environmental awareness results in destructive practices like cutting mangroves without undertaking replanting initiatives.

4. Multidimensional Impacts Of Ecosystem Vulnerability

Environmental destruction is not limited to the loss of ecological function alone; its impact systematically spreads into biophysical, social, and economic dimensions.

4.1 Biophysical Impacts

This vulnerability manifests physically through several forms of damage:

- Soil Degradation and Flood Risk: The massive loss of forest cover significantly reduces the soil's capacity to retain rainwater, which increases the potential for erosion and accelerates surface runoff, leading to flash floods.
- Loss of Coastal Buffers: In coastal regions, the deterioration of natural defenses, such as coral reefs and mangroves, heightens the threat of abrasion to settled areas.
- Biodiversity Loss: Habitat degradation results in the loss of biodiversity, where the extinction of even a single species can disrupt complex food webs.

4.2 Social and Economic Impacts

Ecosystem degradation directly translates into economic losses and social disruption:

- Threat to Livelihoods: Coastal fishermen and farmers experience a significant drop in yields and crop failures due to degraded land and marine productivity. Fishermen, for example, are forced to travel greater distances as fish spawning grounds in mangroves are damaged.
- Poverty and Instability: The decline in productivity severely impacts community incomes, triggering food insecurity and price increases for basic necessities.
- Tourism Decline: The loss of natural aesthetic appeal also causes suffering in the local tourism sector.

4.3 Impacts on Health and Well-being

Ecological damage introduces substantial health and disaster hazards:

- Respiratory and Waterborne Diseases: Visible health impacts include mass Acute Respiratory Infections (ARI) caused by haze from forest fires. Furthermore, water pollution from heavy metals can lead to longterm organ damage and skin diseases.
- Psychological Trauma: Beyond physical illness, ecological disasters often inflict psychological trauma and social stress upon communities that lose their homes and sources of livelihood.

5. Sustainable Mitigation Strategies

To effectively address ecosystem vulnerability, comprehensive strategies are necessary, focusing on the root causes of the degradation.

5.1 Ecological Approach

The primary objective here is the recovery and restoration of natural functions. Rehabilitation of damaged or critical lands through reforestation and the implementation of agroforestry techniques has been proven to successfully enhance soil structure and water regulation.
Furthermore, prioritizing biodiversity conservation, particularly within vital buffer ecosystems like mangroves, is essential. Studies conducted in Brebes demonstrate that mangrove preservation can restore marine biodiversity and simultaneously protect coastlines from abrasion.

5.2 Social and Economic Approach

The active involvement of local communities is a decisive factor in the success of any conservation initiative. Educational programs and community engagement in environmental stewardship, such as those implemented in the coastal areas of Paluh Getah, have been shown to effectively increase awareness and foster eco-friendly conduct. In addition, promoting a Green Economy through models like ecotourism and organic agriculture facilitates efficient resource utilization without causing further destruction, thus successfully aligning economic necessities with ecological sustainability.

5.3 Policy and Governance Approach

The government must strengthen environmental law enforcement to ensure a deterrent effect on environmental violators, whether individuals or corporations. Crucially, spatial planning must adhere to the environment's carrying capacity (referred to as ecosystem-based spatial planning), making use of remote sensing technology to accurately map vulnerable zones and prevent inappropriate land conversion

6. Conclusion

Ecosystem vulnerability in Indonesia is a complex, multidimensional problem driven by the synergistic effects of natural factors and dominant human exploitation, further compounded by inadequate governance. The resulting impacts are destructive, not only to the physical environment but also to economic stability, public health, and social well-being.

Therefore, mitigation efforts must be holistic, moving beyond partial measures. Synergy is imperative among ecological interventions (such as rehabilitation), the socio-economic empowerment of local communities, and firm governmental policies. Protecting these ecosystems is fundamentally an effort to safeguard the life support system for humanity itself. This responsibility demands collaborative action across the government, academia, the private sector, and the community to ensure future generations can inherit a healthy and productive environment.

References

[1] H.N. Aini, O. Rusdiana, S. Mulatsih, Identifikasi tingkat kerawanan degradasi kawasan hutan mangrove Desa Muara, Tangerang, Banten. J. Pengelolaan Sumberd. Alam Lingkung. **5**, 35–44 (2015)

[2] P. Fitria, A. Jauhari, F. Rianawati, Analisis tingkat kerawanan kebakaran hutan dan lahan berbasis penginderaan jauh di Kecamatan Karang Intan. J. Sylva Scienteae **3**, 191–200 (2020)
[3] C.S. Holling, Resilience and stability of ecological systems. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. **4**, 1–23 (1973). https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.04.110173.000245
[4] A.S. Keraf, Etika lingkungan hidup (Kompas, Jakarta, 2010)
[5] E.P. Odum, Fundamentals of ecology (Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia, 1993)
[6] G. Paulina, et al., Analisis pemanfaatan keanekaragaman ekosistem mangrove oleh masyarakat pesisir Pantai Paluh Getah. J. Soc. Sci. **4**, 2483–2492 (2023)
[7] S. Saidah, et al., Deskripsi kerusakan ekosistem hutan mangrove. J. Pendidik. Pembelaj. Geogr. **5**, 13–22 (2024)
[8] M.E. Savitri, S.S. Tumuyu, M.P. Patria, Penentuan konsep keberlanjutan pengelolaan ekosistem mangrove di Desa Kaliwlingi, Kabupaten Brebes. J. Ilmu Lingkung. **23**, 101–115 (2025).
[9] M. Suparmoko, Ekonomi lingkungan: Teori dan aplikasi (BPFE, Yogyakarta, 2017)